1	BEFORE THE
2	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
3	REGULAR OPEN MEETING
4	PUBLIC UTILITY
5	Tuesday, April 26, 2016
6	Chicago, Illinois
7	Met, pursuant to notice, at 10:30 a.m., at 160 North LaSalle Street, Chicago, Illinois
9	PRESENT:
LO	BRIEN J. SHEAHAN, Chairman
L1	MIGUEL DEL VALLE, Commissioner
L2	SHERINA E. MAYE EDWARDS, Commissioner
L3	ANN McCABE, Commissioner
L4	JOHN R. ROSALES, Commissioner
L5	
L6	
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by
2.2	Track I Overeaker CCD

- 1 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Good morning.
- 2 Are we ready to proceed in
- 3 Springfield.
- 4 MS. ROLANDO: Yes, we are.
- 5 CHAIRMAN SHEANHAN: Pursuant to the Open
- 6 Meetings Act, I call the April 26th, 2016 Regular
- 7 Open Meeting of the Illinois Commerce Commission to
- 8 order.
- 9 Commissioners McCabe, Del Valle,
- 10 Edwards and Rosales are present with me in Chicago.
- 11 We have a quorum. We have no requests to speak and
- 12 we will therefore move into our regular public
- 13 agenda.
- 14 There are edits to the minutes of our
- 15 April 4th, 2016 Special Open Meeting. Are there any
- objections to approving the minutes as edited?
- 17 (No response.)
- 18 Hearing none, the minutes as edited
- 19 are approved.
- 20 Item E-1 concerns ComEd's petition
- 21 seeking a waiver of liability for electric service
- interruptions caused by the June 24, 2016 and

- 1 August 30th -- I'm sorry, 2013 and August 30th, 2013
- 2 storm systems. There are substantive edits to the
- 3 proposed order and I move for the adoption of the
- 4 edits.
- Is there a second?
- 6 COMMISSIONER ROSALES: Second.
- 7 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Is there any discussion?
- 8 (No response.)
- 9 All those in favor, say "aye."
- 10 (Chorus of ayes.)
- 11 Opposed, say "nay."
- 12 (No response.)
- The ayes have it. The edits are
- 14 adopted. Is there a motion to enter the Order as
- 15 edited?
- 16 COMMISSIONER EDWARDS: So moved.
- 17 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Is there a second?
- 18 COMMISSIONER ROSALES: Second.
- 19 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: It's been moved and
- 20 seconded.
- 21 Any discussion?
- 22 (No response.)

```
1 All those in favor, say "aye."
```

- 2 (Chorus of ayes.)
- Those opposed, say "nay."
- 4 (No response.)
- 5 The ayes have it and the order as
- 6 edited is approved.
- 7 Item E-2 concerns Ameren's petition
- 8 for a waiver of liability associated with certain
- 9 electrical outages from a series of storms that
- 10 occurred in Ameren's service territory between
- 11 June 20th and 21st of 2015.
- 12 Are there any objections to approving
- the proposed order?
- 14 (No response.)
- Hearing none, the order is approved.
- 16 Item E-3 concerns ComEd's
- 17 reconciliation of revenues collected under its power
- 18 procurement riders.
- 19 Are there any objections to approving
- the proposed order?
- 21 (No response.)
- Hearing none, the order is approved.

- 1 Items E-4 and 5 concern customer
- 2 complaints against ComEd regarding billing charges.
- 3 Are there any objections to
- 4 considering these items together and approving the
- 5 proposed orders dismissing the complaints?
- 6 (No response.)
- 7 Hearing none, the orders are approved.
- 8 Item E-6 concerns EEI Holding
- 9 Corporation's application for a certificate as an
- 10 installer of distributed generation facilities.
- 11 Are there any objections to approving
- the proposed orders?
- 13 (No response.)
- 14 Hearing none, the order is approved.
- 15 Items E-7 through 9 concern
- 16 applications seeking authority to operate as agents,
- 17 brokers or consultants.
- 18 Are there any objections to
- 19 considering these items together and approving the
- 20 proposed orders?
- 21 (No response.)
- Hearing none, the orders are approved.

- 1 Items E-10 through 16 concern various
- 2 petitions seeking the confidential treatment of
- 3 certain reports.
- 4 Are there any objections to
- 5 considering these items together and approving the
- 6 proposed order?
- 7 (No response.)
- 8 Hearing none, the orders are approved.
- 9 Item E-17 concerns Glenview
- 10 Consulting's motion to withdraw its position for the
- 11 confidential treatment of its 2010 ABC
- 12 Recertification Report.
- 13 Are there any objections to granting
- 14 the motion to withdraw?
- 15 (No response.)
- 16 Hearing none, the motion to withdraw
- 17 is granted.
- 18 Moving on to our gas agenda. Items
- 19 G-1 and 2 concerns Peoples and North Shore's filings
- 20 to modify their gas tariffs to comply with Part 280.
- 21 Are there any objections to
- 22 considering these items together and not suspending

- 1 the filings?
- 2 (No response.)
- 3 Hearing none, the filings are not
- 4 suspended.
- 5 Items G-3 through 8 concern various
- 6 customer complaints regarding billing charges.
- 7 Commissioner Del Valle, I believe you
- 8 have a comment to make?
- 9 COMMISSIONER DEL VALLE: Yes. Thank you,
- 10 Mr. Chairman. On item G-3, this record reflects that
- 11 customer requested and consented to work being done
- despite not knowing the cost, and that pursuant to
- 13 Nicor's tariff, the customer is responsible for the
- 14 cost.
- I believe, however, that it is
- 16 necessary to stress that Nicor has a policy and
- 17 procedure for handling customer requests for
- 18 relocation or revisions that appears not to have been
- 19 followed in this case. Nicor and all utilities in
- 20 this state should take the necessary steps to ensure
- 21 that these procedures be followed by all their
- 22 personnel in all cases, regardless of the

- 1 circumstances, to ensure that all customers
- 2 understand the costs of the request when they agreed
- 3 to the work order, and we avoid having to adjudicate
- 4 complaints such as these. Thank you.
- 5 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Thank you, Commissioner.
- 6 Are there any objections to
- 7 considering these items together and approving the
- 8 proposed orders?
- 9 (No response.)
- 10 Hearing none, the orders are approved
- 11 and the complaints are dismissed.
- 12 Item G-9 concerns Nicor's petition
- 13 seeking authority to issue \$250 million in long-term
- 14 debt.
- 15 Are there any objections to approving
- 16 the proposed order?
- 17 (No response.)
- 18 Hearing none, the order is approved.
- 19 Item G-10 concerns Constellation's
- 20 petition seeking proprietary treatment for its 2015
- 21 Annual Dekatherms and Revenue Reports.
- 22 Are there any objection to approving

- 1 the proposed order?
- 2 (No response.)
- Hearing none, the order is approved.
- 4 Item T-1 concerns DOCOMO's petition
- 5 for cancelation of its Certificate of Service
- 6 Authority.
- 7 Are there any objections to approving
- 8 the proposed order?
- 9 (No response.)
- Hearing none, the order is approved.
- 11 Items T-2 through 22 concern various
- 12 petitions seeking the confidential treatment of
- 13 certain reports.
- 14 Item T-7 will be held for a future
- 15 meeting.
- 16 Are there any objections to
- 17 considering these items together and approving the
- 18 proposed orders T-2 through 22 with the exception of
- 19 T-7?
- 20 (No response.)
- Hearing none, the orders are approved.
- 22 Item W-1 concerns Ameren and American

- 1 Water's filing to modify its water and sewer tariffs
- 2 to comply with Part 280.
- 3 Are there any objections to not
- 4 suspending the filing?
- 5 (No response.)
- 6 Hearing none, the filing is not
- 7 suspended.
- 8 Moving on to our miscellaneous agenda
- 9 items, M-1 through 3 concern various procedural
- 10 matters to terminate FutureGen Project.
- 11 Is there any objection to considering
- 12 these items together and approving the proposed
- 13 orders?
- 14 (No response.)
- Hearing none, the proposed orders are
- 16 approved.
- 17 Item M-4 concerns the submission of
- 18 the Commission's second notice of proposed amendments
- 19 to our code Part 465 Net Metering, to the Joint
- 20 Committee on Administrative Rules. The proposed
- order adopts modifications proposed by JCAR.
- I move to enter the proposed order

- 1 adopting the modifications proposed by JCAR.
- 2 Is there a second?
- 3 COMMISSIONER ROSALES: Second.
- 4 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Is there any discussion?
- 5 Commission McCabe?
- 6 COMMISSIONER McCABE: In the November 12, 2015,
- 7 Second Notice Order on net metering, approved 5 to
- 8 zero, the Commission concluded that "supplier" did
- 9 not need to be changed to "provider" in several parts
- of the proposed rule. Today's order does so. The
- 11 parties have differing interpretations of the
- 12 statute. At issue is whether customers with an ARES
- 13 can be denied the ability to participate in meter
- 14 aggregation by the utility.
- 15 If an electricity provider denies a
- 16 request for meter aggregation, it must submit a
- 17 written explanation why to the Clerk of the
- 18 Commission and to the applicant. In the months
- 19 ahead, I am interested in monitoring how many
- 20 requests were granted as well as denied. What we
- 21 won't know is how many requests are not made due to
- 22 these changes. Thank you.

- 1 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Thank you.
- 2 Commissioner Del Valle?
- 3 COMMISSIONER DEL VALLE: Thank you,
- 4 Mr. Chairman.
- 5 On November 12th, the Commission
- 6 unanimously approved and sent to JCAR, this Second
- 7 Notice Order. Distributed generation and these
- 8 compensation programs are important and neither have
- 9 yet to arrive in Illinois in adequate numbers. At
- 10 the end of last year, only 555 residential customers
- 11 were using net meter energy metering and to date, I'm
- 12 unaware of any meter aggregation projects, which are
- 13 often called community solar. These projects are
- 14 badly needed as the majority of ComEd's customers are
- not able to place solar panels on top of where they
- 16 live and community solar has been identified as a key
- 17 means of delivering clean energy solutions to these
- 18 customers.
- 19 The rule that this Commission sent to
- 20 JCAR in November said that only electricity suppliers
- 21 can decide if they will provide community solar
- 22 programs, and the public utility is not permitted to

- 1 intervene in that decision.
- 2 Despite the clear explanation of why
- 3 the Commission adopted the rule as it did
- 4 unanimously, JCAR objected and instructed the
- 5 Commission to change one word "supplier" to
- 6 "provider," which the Commission explained on Second
- 7 Notice, will involve the distribution utility in the
- 8 process of ARES and customers deciding if they want
- 9 to engage in community solar. The outcome of this
- 10 change is that ComEd will be able to continue
- 11 blocking community solar here as it has stated
- 12 publically in filings to the Commission.
- 13 I stand by the Second Notice Order's
- 14 unambiguous finding that the use of the term
- 15 "electricity provider" adopted by the majority today
- 16 would allow the utility to deny meter aggregation on
- 17 a general basis, which in turn could effectively
- 18 prevent any meter aggregation. I disagree with JCAR.
- 19 I believe our proposed rule as originally adopted was
- 20 consistent with the law and better promoted the
- 21 intent of the law. I will be filing a dissent and
- 22 voting no on this order. Thank you.

- 1 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Thank you, Commissioner.
- 2 There is a motion and second.
- All those in favor, say "aye."
- 4 (Chorus of ayes.)
- 5 Opposed, say "nay."
- 6 (Chorus of nays.)
- 7 The motion passes 4 to 1.
- 8 Moving on to petitions for rehearing,
- 9 Item PR-1 concerns Tri-State Electric's complaint
- 10 under the Electric Supplier Act against Ameren.
- Is there a motion to deny the
- 12 applications for rehearing?
- 13 COMMISSIONER McCABE: So moved.
- 14 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Is there a second?
- 15 COMMISSIONER EDWARDS: Second.
- 16 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: There is a motion and a
- 17 second. Is there any discussion?
- 18 (No response.)
- 19 All those in favor, say "aye."
- 20 (Chorus of ayes.)
- Opposed, say "nay."
- 22 (No response.)

- 1 The ayes have it and the applications
- 2 for rehearing are denied.
- 3 We have one further item on our agenda
- 4 regarding the Illinois Power Agency's March 2016
- 5 solicitation of bids to sell renewable energy credits
- 6 to Ameren, ComEd and MidAmerican.
- 7 Is there -- do we have any discussion
- 8 on this item?
- 9 (No response.)
- 10 Is there a motion to approve the
- 11 procurement administrator's benchmark methodology?
- 12 COMMISSIONER EDWARDS: So moved.
- 13 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Is there a second?
- 14 COMMISSIONER ROSALES: Second.
- 15 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: All those in favor, say
- 16 "aye."
- 17 (Chorus of ayes.)
- 18 Opposed, say "nay."
- 19 (No response.)
- The ayes have it and the methodology
- 21 is approved.
- Judge Kimbrel, do we have any further

```
1
     matters to come before the Commission today?
2
           JUDGE KIMBREL: No. There's nothing further,
     Mr. Chairman.
3
4
           CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Commissioners, do any of you
5
     have any other matters to bring forward to the
6
     Commission this morning?
7
                    (No response.)
                    Hearing none, we stand adjoined.
8
9
     Thank you.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
```